

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/602,173	06/23/2003	Richard L. Mueller JR.	ACU-125	9439
75	90 02/15/2005		EXAM	NER
OLSON & HIERL, LTD.			PRONE, CHRISTOPHER D	
36th Floor 20 North Wack	er Drive		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Chicago, IL 6	0606		3738	

DATE MAILED: 02/15/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	Ü
Office Action Summary	10/602,173	MUELLER ET AL.	
omoo notion ouninary	Examiner	Art Unit	
The MAIL ING DATE of this communication	Christopher D Prone	th the correspondence address	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	in the correspondence address -	-
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- reply within the statutory minimum of thirt- riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON- atute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communica ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	tion.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05	5 November 2003.	•	
,	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	wance except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the merits	s is
closed in accordance with the practice under	er <i>Ex par</i> te Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the applicat	ion		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•		
8) Claim(s) 1-28 are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a		by the Examiner	
Applicant may not request that any objection to	•		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the con	- ,,	• •	1(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	,		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	oion naority under 35 U.S.C. &	119(a)-(d) or (f)	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	igh phonty under 65 5.5.5. 3	110(a) (a) or (i).	
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received.	•	
2. Certified copies of the priority docum		pplication No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p	priority documents have been	received in this National Stage	
application from the International Bur	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	,	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not	received.	
•			
Attachment(s)	Λ □		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		ummary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date	
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		oformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/602,173

Art Unit: 3738

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: an adjustable dilator assembly

Species 1 shown in figures 1-3

Species 2 shown in figure 6

Species 3 shown in figure 7

Species 4 shown in figure 8

Species 5 shown in figure 10

Upon election from the above species, further election from the following subspecies is required.

Species 6 wherein the exfoliation device is an abrasive surface as recited in claim 9

Species 7 wherein the exfoliation device is a brush as recited in claim 10

Upon election from the above species, further election from the following subspecies is required.

Species 8 shown in figures 9 and 9A

Species 9 shown in figure 3

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are considered generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims

Application/Control Number: 10/602,173

Art Unit: 3738

readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

A telephone call was made to Mr. Joseph Kuo on 2/8/2005 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one

Application/Control Number: 10/602,173 Page 4

Art Unit: 3738

or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher D Prone whose telephone number is (571) 272-6085. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday Through Fri 8:30 to 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Corrine McDermott can be reached on (571) 272-6085. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

୍ଦ≱ CDP

CORRINE MCCERMOTT SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700